

## W.K. Kellogg Foundation Dental Therapist Project

AACDP Annual Symposium  
April 10, 2011

## Dental Therapist Project

- ▶ Alaska Dental Therapist (DT) Program Evaluation
- ▶ States beyond Alaska

## Background

- ▶ Kellogg Foundation's mission and priorities
- ▶ Invited by Alaska Native Tribes to fund a DT training program
- ▶ DT program evaluation with funding partners
  - High level overview and key findings

## Evaluation

- ▶ Purpose – implementation evaluation
- ▶ Good quality with objectivity and transparency
  - RTI
  - Two advisory groups
    - Alaska Tribal Coordination Committee
    - National Advisory Committee
- ▶ Methodology change
  - Comparison study
  - Case study approach of 5 villages

## Major Focus Areas

- ▶ Patient satisfaction and perceived access to care
- ▶ Oral health status
- ▶ Clinical technical performance
- ▶ Record-based process measures and evaluation of clinical facilities
- ▶ Implementation of community-based preventive plans and programs

## Patient Satisfaction and Access

- ▶ Methods: Responses to surveys (n= 513) and informant interviews
- ▶ Surveys: Range 7.83 – 8.86 (0 – 10 scale)
- ▶ Patient satisfaction high – "Therapists explained clearly, listened carefully, and treated patients with courtesy and respect...made patients feel comfortable...and generally did not keep patients waiting for more than 15 minutes"
- ▶ "Appreciated having dental problems addressed more quickly...not having to wait with a toothache until a dentist visits village"
- ▶ "...many persons reported access to care had improved...and can make appointments locally."

## Oral Health Status

- ▶ Purpose – provide quantitative measure of the community context and baseline assessment for future studies
- ▶ Methods: Direct oral examination
- ▶ 405 examinations – “untreated decay were high...far in excess of *Healthy People 2010 target rates*”
  - Adults (n – 89) – **79%** vs. 15% (HP)
  - Adolescents (n – 182) – **60%** vs. 15% (HP)
  - Children (n – 134) – **51%** vs. 21% (HP)
- ▶ “...continuing high levels of unmet need across all age groups...”

## Clinical Technical Performance

- ▶ Methods: Direct observations of DTs performing preparations and restorations, blinded direct oral evaluations of restorations (i.e., evaluator did not know who did the restorations), and chart audits
- ▶ Observed preparations and restorations (DTs)
  - 15 composite preparations/restorations (No deficiencies)
  - 13 amalgam preparations/restorations (Two deficiencies – 15%)
  - 1 stainless steel crown preparation/restoration (No deficiencies)
- ▶ “Proportion of (observed) procedures with deficiencies was small, 8% overall”

## Clinical Technical Performance

- ▶ Blinded evaluations
- ▶ 73 composite restorations
  - 47 by DTs (7 deficiencies – 15%)
  - 25 by dentists (3 deficiencies – 12%)
  - 1 by an unknown provider type
- ▶ 125 amalgam restorations
  - 84 by DTs (9 deficiencies – 12%)
  - 41 by dentists (10 deficiencies – 22%)
- ▶ 41 stainless steel crown restorations
  - 30 by therapists (1 deficiency – 3%)
  - 11 by dentists (1 deficiency – 9%)
- ▶ Of the 239 prior restorations, “rates and types of deficiencies were similar for therapists and dentists”

## Clinical Technical Performance

- ▶ Chart Audits
- ▶ 54 restorations – 1 complication (none in children)
- ▶ 37 extractions – no complications
- ▶ “Complications following restorative procedures were extremely infrequent, and no post-extraction complications were noted”
- ▶ **“These data indicate that the therapists who were observed are technically competent to perform these procedures within their scope of practice”**

## Community-based Preventive Programs

- ▶ Methods: Key informant interviews and observation
- ▶ Variable, with some, but not all, villages having community-based preventive programs
- ▶ Primarily school-based programs
- ▶ Oral health instruction, tooth brushing, and fluoride rinses in the schools
- ▶ More common with resident vs. itinerant DTs

## Other Key Findings

- ▶ Methods: Key informant interviews (n – 65)
- ▶ DTs viewed as one of their own, returning as role models and providing culturally competent care
- ▶ Appreciated having their dental problems addressed more quickly
- ▶ Anticipate some recruitment and retention challenges – tribes need to provide support and resources
- ▶ DTs “conscientious” and “conservative” about practicing within their scope of practice
- ▶ Supervision regularly happens, but process varies across sites and is based on experience of DTs
- ▶ Dealing with other factors that affect oral health – diet: (perceived) differences in the value residents placed on their teeth; care-seeking behavior, with less emphasis on prevention; need to adopt personal oral health practices

## Conclusions

- ▶ The DTs are performing well and operating safely within their scope of practice, practicing under the general supervision of the dentists
- ▶ The DTs are well accepted in the villages and serve as role models
- ▶ According to plans, DTs are addressing the considerable unmet need for restorative care and then will undertake the second prong of the strategy to begin implementing preventive measures
- ▶ Effecting change will also take significant alterations in the oral health attitudes and behavior of Alaska Native people
  - Will take years to accomplish
  - DTs' cultural awareness and credibility can help shape changes in behaviors

## State Opportunities

- ▶ Based on lessons from Alaska experience (5 years)
- ▶ DTs – in existence for almost a century and established in more than 50 countries
- ▶ Good track record of safe and good quality care – multiple studies and no evidence to the contrary
- ▶ Traditional approaches in this country to date have not been successful enough
  - 50 million live in underserved areas
  - 30% of Americans with poor access to dental care
- ▶ DTs should be part of the conversation to improve access for vulnerable and underserved children and families in this country

## State Opportunities

- ▶ Partnering with coalitions in 5 states (WA, NM, KS, OH, VT)
- ▶ Coalitions provide information about access needs and how dental therapists can be part of a multifaceted approach to improve access and oral health
- ▶ Important to include voices outside of the profession – community and consumer advocates, children's groups, health advocates and others
  - WKKF's long term commitment to promote and engage community voice
  - Due to positions and actions of leading organized dentist groups

## Partnership With Dentists

- ▶ Critically important to partner with dentists who understand the access needs and the opportunity
- ▶ Will not happen without dentist leadership and support
- ▶ DTs practice as part of dentist-led teams and under the general supervision of dentists
- ▶ Collaborating with dentists and looking to work with more dentists and dental organizations
- ▶ As dentists learn more about the potential for dental therapy, more are getting involved and supporting these efforts

## State Efforts

- ▶ In all the states, efforts focus on:
  - Building and strengthening coalitions, including with dentists and other interested partners
  - Developing educational materials
  - Communicating needs and opportunities associated with dental therapy
  - Holding community meetings
- ▶ Legislation to modify state practice acts filed in 4 states and will happen in 5th
- ▶ Other states

## Contact Information

Albert K. Yee, MD, MPH  
Email address: [ayee@edc.org](mailto:ayee@edc.org)